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Abstract. Infrared neural stimulation (INS) is a method to depolarize neurons with infrared light. While consensus exists that heating of the target structure is essential, subsequent steps that result in the generation of an action potential are controversially discussed in the literature. The question of whether cochlear INS is an acoustic event has not been clarified. Results have been published that could be explained solely by an acoustic event. However, data exist that do not support an acoustical stimulus as the dominant factor in cochlear INS. We review the different findings that have been suggested for the mechanism of INS. Furthermore, we present the data that clarify the role of an acoustical event in cochlear INS. Masking experiments have been performed in hearing, hearing impaired, and severely hearing impaired animals. In normal hearing animals, the laser response could be masked by the acoustic stimulus. Once thresholds to acoustic stimuli were elevated, the ability to acoustically mask the INS response gradually disappeared. Thresholds for acoustic stimuli were significantly elevated in animals with compromised cochlear function, while the thresholds for optical stimulation remained largely unchanged. The results suggest that the direct interaction between the radiation and the target structure dominates cochlear INS. © 2015 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.NOP.2.2.025002]
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1 Introduction

Infrared radiation (IR) has been proposed as an alternative method for neural stimulation. The common underlying mechanism of infrared neural stimulation (INS) is the local heating of the target structures in the beam path. Experimental results show that the temperature changes result in a depolarizing capacitive current or the activation of heat-sensitive transient receptor potential vanilloid (TRPV) channels. Other experiments demonstrated that IR increases the intracellular calcium concentration. Recently, it has been demonstrated that the increase in intracellular calcium concentration results from a direct interaction of the radiation with intracellular calcium stores.

Pulse lengths for INS are typically 100 μs for cochlear stimulation and about 1 ms for peripheral nerve or cortical stimulation. With a heat relaxation time of 35 ms in water, the heat delivery is thermally confined and stress relaxation waves are generated. The value for was calculated with Equations 6 and 8 from van Gemert and Welch. The equations consider both the axial and the radial heat diffusion times to calculate the heat conduction. The calculated value considers the following laser parameters: radiation wavelength \( \lambda = 1862 \text{ nm} \), optical penetration length \( L = 700 \text{ μm} \), temperature conductivity of water \( k = 1.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \), 100 μs pulse length, and a laser spot size of 350 μm as determined and published in previous experiments. In addition to the calculation, we confirmed the time experimentally by measuring the temperature in front of the optical fiber in water with a patch pipette. The method has been previously described in detail.

While for peripheral nerves and cortical stimulation, no evidence could be found that a mechanical event plays a crucial role in INS, this is different for the auditory system. Both direct neural stimulation and stimulation via an acoustical (optoacoustic) event have been discussed as possible mechanisms. Valid criticism on the notion that the neural stimulation in the cochlea results from the direct interaction between the optical radiation and the auditory neurons comes from experiments conducted in guinea pigs. For the latter experiments, optical stimulation was only possible in animals with residual hearing. Following the extensive perfusion of an ototoxic and neurotoxic drug, neomycin, into scala tympani, responses to pure tones and to IR disappeared while responses to monopolar electrical stimulation were only slightly decreased. For the development of optically based cochlear implants, it would be crucially important to determine the chain of events resulting in the generation of action potentials.

In this paper, we follow up on the question of whether cochlear INS is a direct interaction between the auditory neurons and the IR or solely an optophonic response by studying the effects of simultaneous presentation of a laser and acoustical stimulus.
When two similar signals are presented to the cochlea at the same time, a masking effect occurs, resulting in a decrease of the response to a probe stimulus. This masking effect has been observed between acoustic and INS, acoustic and electrical, and acoustic and INS stimulation. Based on these previous findings, INS should be able to be masked by a white noise acoustic stimulus in a normal-hearing cochlea. After damaging hair cells, the acoustic masking of INS should be affected if INS is mainly a result of neural stimulation. Furthermore, if INS is dominated by an optophysical response, then damaging the hair cells should have similar effects on masking as well as a decrease in both acoustic and INS responses. However, if INS results from a direct interaction of the target structure(s) with the radiation, the neuronal response to IR should be little affected by the deafening of the animal. The present study investigates the ability of a broadband acoustic masker on masking the responses to INS.

2 Methods

Adult guinea pigs of either sex were used in the experiments. Care and use of the animals were carried out within the guidelines of the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Northwestern University.

2.1 Deafening of the Animals

After animals with normal cochlear function were anesthetized with isoflurane in oxygen (5% for induction and 1% to 3% for maintenance), they were transtympanically injected with 25 mM neomycin in 0.9% saline solution. Animals were recovered from anesthesia and survived a minimum of 4 weeks until further studies were performed. Based on the sound pressure level (SPL) to evoke an auditory response by acoustic clicks, animals were categorized as partially deaf (thresholds to acoustic clicks were above 65 dB SPL) or severely deaf (thresholds to acoustic clicks were above 80 dB SPL).

2.2 Animal Surgery

The method is the same as has been described previously. Animals were anesthetized with 0.9 mg/kg urethane. The level of anesthesia was maintained throughout the procedure with 40 to 80 mg/kg ketamine combined with 5 to 10 mg/kg xylazine in the initial injection diluted 1:10 in saline solution. The depth of anesthesia was assessed every 15 min with a paw withdrawal reflex. Core body temperature was maintained with a heating pad. Temperature, heart rate, and blood oxygen were monitored with a Bionet BM3 vet (Tustin, California) monitoring system. After the animals were anesthetized, a tracheotomy was made and a plastic tube was secured into the trachea to facilitate breathing. The animals were ventilated on oxygen throughout the length of the experiment using a Hallowell EMC Anesthesia WorkStation (Pittsfield, Massachusetts). Next, the animals’ heads were fixed in a stereotactic head holder (Stoelting, Kiel, Wisconsin) using ear bars for placement. The skin was removed from the medial part of the skull, slightly caudal of the eyes. Three holes were made in the skull with a motorized drill, about 4 to 8 mm apart, where 1.5 mm stainless steel self-tapping cortex screws (Vetinary Orthopedic Implants, St. Augustine, Florida) were fastened. A custom-made arm was attached to the head holder and fixed to the skull with dental acrylic, using the cortex screws as anchors. After the acrylic had cured, the ear bars were removed to create a more open surgical field. The left cochlea was accessed through a “C”-shaped skin incision behind the pinna. Cervicoauricular muscles were removed by blunt dissection and the outer ear canal was exposed for easier acoustic stimulus placement and better surgical access. The bulla was exposed and opened approximately 2 × 3 mm², directly caudal of the ear canal, using a motorized drill. A silver ball electrode was placed on the round window for compound action potential (CAP) recordings. A 300 μm cochleostomy was made into the basal turn approximately 0.5 mm from the bony rim of the round window using a hand drill. A flat-polished 200 μm optical fiber (P200-5-VIS-NIR, Ocean Optics, Dunedin, Florida) was connected to a Narishige 3D micromanipulator (MHW103, Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) and was inserted through the opening (Fig. 1). The optical fiber was oriented toward the spiral ganglion cell bodies located in Rosenthal’s canal.

2.3 Laser Stimulation

As described in previously published experiments, optical stimulation was achieved with a diode laser (Capella, Lockheed Martin AcuLight Corp., Bothell, Washington). The wavelength was 1862 nm, the pulse duration 100 μs, and the pulse repetition rate 10 Hz. An optical fiber with a core diameter of 200 μm was coupled to the laser and was used to irradiate the target structures. The value for the optical spot was taken from previous experiments. At the tip of the optical fiber, the spot was 130 μm in diameter. The diameter of the spot was determined from the Gaussian energy distribution at the full-width at half the maximum (FWHM). The FWHM value was determined.

![Fig. 1](https://biomedicaloptics.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Neurophotonics/025002-2/images/parent.png)

Fig. 1 (a)–(d) shows the access to the cochlea and placement of the optical fiber. The silver ball compound action potential (CAP) electrode is not in place in order to clear the field of view. Surgical access to the bulla with bullotomy completed is seen in (a). The optical fiber is seen on the left of the panel. With higher magnification of the surgical site provided in (b), the cochlea can be visualized through the bullotomy. Further magnification on the cochlea in (c) provides clear viewing of the base of the cochlea with the round window (arrow) easily identified. The circle shows where the cochleostomy will be drilled. In (d), the cochleostomy is drilled and laser fiber is in place for infrared neural stimulation (INS).
previously using the knife-edge technique. The radiant energy per pulse at the tip of the optical fiber was measured in air as 0 to 116 μJ/pulse using the J50LP-1A energy sensor (Coherent, Santa Clara, California). Note that the energy measured at the tip of the optical fiber will not be the same as the energy delivered to the neurons. Fluids, soft tissue, and the modiolar bone absorb and scatter the radiation. Assuming primarily water absorption, e.g., Ref. 3, the incident radiant energy decreases in water by 1/e for each 700 μm traveled along the optical path. It is a fair assumption that the energy at the spiral ganglion cells is about one third of the energy measured at the tip of the optical fiber.

2.4 Acoustic Stimulation

Cochlear function was screened using CAPs recorded at the round window of the cochlea with a silver ball electrode. Acoustic thresholds were determined in response to acoustic clicks and pure tone bursts. The frequency for the pure tone bursts started at 32 kHz and decreased in 2 steps per octave over 5 octaves to 1.4 kHz. Sound levels at each frequency began at the loudest speaker output and attenuated in steps of 5 dB. The maximum possible sound level of the speaker output is added to the plot of CAP thresholds as a light gray line. Acoustic stimuli were generated by a voltage command presented at a rate of 4 Hz to a Beyer DT770-Pro headphone, which had been previously calibrated with a Bruel and Kjær 1/8-in. microphone. The speculum of the speaker was placed directly in front of the ear canal (quasifree field). The CAP electrodes were connected to a differential amplifier (ISO-80, WPI, Sarasota, Florida) with high-input impedance (>1012). Filter settings of the ISO-80 were 0.3 to 3 kHz. CAP threshold was defined as a response that was visible above the noise floor of the recordings, typically between 5 and 10 μV.

2.5 Masking Optical Stimulation

Masking was accomplished by presenting an optical pulse and an acoustic noise stimulus simultaneously. Two experimental paradigms were used: varying the masker level and keeping the radiant energy per pulse constant (variable masker) and varying radiant energy and keeping the masker level constant (variable INS). Before presenting the acoustic masker, a radiant energy-versus-CAP response amplitude contour (I/O-curve) was assessed to determine correct optical fiber orientation as well as the best radiant energy level to use for the variable masker experiment.

The energy level for the variable masker experiment was determined by choosing the laser power for which the input-output curve was steepest. In case no saturation of the laser I/O-curve was reached, the highest radiant energy was used. In addition to the laser pulse, an acoustic noise stimulus masker was presented. The reduction of the optically evoked response served as a measure for the masking of the laser stimulus by the acoustic masker. The voltage command for the noise stimulus was generated with a Hewlett Packard waveform generator (model 33120A). The acoustic noise stimulus was attenuated in steps of 5 dB.

For the variable INS experiment, an I/O-curve was recorded using increasing laser power settings with no acoustical masker present. A second I/O-curve was recorded in the same manner in the presence of the acoustic masker set to 80 dB SPL.

2.6 Histology

In addition to using CAPs as an indicator of deafness, histological sections were evaluated for the presence of hair cells. After the animal was sacrificed, the cochleae were harvested and fixed in a solution of 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 1.5% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS) for at least 24 h. The cochleae were then placed in 10% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid in PBS for at least 2 weeks for decalcification. Following decalcification, the cochleae were fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide (diluted in PBS). The samples were then dehydrated with increasing concentrations of acetone (20%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, and 100%). After dehydration, samples were imbedded with increasing concentrations of Araldite epoxy resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences) (12.5%, 50%, 87.5%, and 100%) dissolved in acetone.

Embedded samples were sectioned at 5 μm using a glass knife microtome (LKB 8800 Ultrotome III, Stockholm-Bromma, Sweden) and each section was transferred to a glass slide. The slides were stained with 1% toluidine blue (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri) and 1% sodium tetraborate solution (1:20) and then covered using glass coverslips and Permount™ (Fisher Scientific Inc., Hanover Park, Illinois).

Each turn visible in a cross section was inspected to determine whether inner and outer hair cells were present. At least 10 successive mid-modiolar sections were inspected. The presence of outer and inner hair cells was examined by the presence of a cell nucleus or stereocilia bundle and was noted. Since the sections are smaller than the diameter of the hair cells, it is possible that a single hair cell is present in several sections as well as gaps between hair cells can result with no hair cell in the section despite no hair cell loss having occurred. To account for those limitations, the fraction of sections with a hair cell visible was calculated for control cochleae and cochleae damaged with neomycin. Each visible cut edge from base to apex was examined. The presence and appearance of other soft tissue structures of the organ of Corti were examined but results are not reported.

2.7 Data Acquisition and Analysis

2.7.1 Physiology

CAPs were recorded while presenting the laser stimulus (probe alone) and while adding the acoustic masker. The CAP amplitudes were measured for the different experimental conditions and were plotted versus the stimulus levels, the radiant energy delivered with each laser pulse or the average sound level of the masker.

2.7.2 Statistics

Descriptive statistics were used. Representative examples of the responses from normal hearing, partially deaf, and severely deaf animals are shown. In addition to typical examples, the averages and corresponding standard deviations of all animals used in the study are presented. Differences were tested either with the paired student’s t-test or by using the analysis of variance (ANOVA). If the ANOVA indicated differences among the means, a posteriori test was used for making pair-wise comparisons among the means. An honestly significant difference (HSD) test by Tukey was used. The tests are part of a statistical package provided by IGOR® (Wavemetrics). Statistical decisions were made for a probability P = 0.05.
3 Results

3.1 Threshold Levels for Compound Action Potentials

Hearing (N = 9), partially deaf (N = 4), and severely deaf (N = 4) animals were used in this study. All animals were tested for click-, pure tone-, and laser-evoked responses. Typical examples for CAP responses to laser pulses with and without masking as well as acoustic clicks in normal hearing, partial deaf, and severe deaf animals are shown in Fig. 5. The traces show that CAP amplitudes increase with increasing stimulus level. The threshold for acoustical stimulation increases in partially [Fig. 5(b)] and severely [Fig. 5(c)] deaf animals. There is only a small relative change in CAP thresholds for laser stimulation between normal hearing and deaf animals. Corresponding results for pure tones are shown in Fig. 6. For two animals, no response to acoustic tone bursts could be detected for sound levels above 110 dB SPL [Fig. 5(c)]

Figure 5 shows various I/O curves for a representative animal from each group. In normal hearing animals, acoustic and laser I/O curves have similar shapes [Fig. 5(a)]. In partially and severely deafened animals [Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)], the I/O curve for acoustic stimulation changes drastically when compared with normal hearing animals. Following cochlear damage, the curves shift to the right (higher stimulus levels are required for a response) for acoustic stimulation but responses to laser stimulation only had small shifts across all animal groups. The values shown in Fig. 5(c) for responses to acoustic clicks are similar to the noise amplitude of the recording. In contrast to the response to acoustic stimuli, the laser I/O curve has the same overall shape at similar energies similar to the curve obtained in normal hearing and partially deaf animals.

While Fig. 5 shows the examples (single animals) for click- and laser-evoked CAPs, Fig. 6 provides the data from all animals. The gray curves are the values of individual animals, while the black curves are the average values with corresponding standard deviations. On average, the threshold for an acoustic click response was 51 dB SPL in normal hearing animals, 73 dB SPL in partially deaf animals, and 98 dB SPL in severely deaf animals. Statistical testing showed that the differences in threshold are significant; ANOVA (Fc = 3.8, DF = 15, P = 6 × 10⁻⁸); HSD test by Tukey: normal hearing versus deaf P = 0.01; normal hearing versus severely deaf P = 0.02; and deaf versus severely deaf P = 5 × 10⁻⁵.

Damaging the cochlea also resulted in a decrease of the maximum amplitude from 318 µV in normal hearing animals to 128 µV in partial and 15 µV in severely deaf animals [Figs. 5(b)–5(c)]. Statistical testing showed that the differences in threshold are significant when normal and severe animals were compared; ANOVA (Fc = 3.8, DF = 15, P = 0.02); HSD test by Tukey: normal hearing versus partially deaf P = 0.1; normal hearing versus severely deaf P = 0.02; and partially deaf versus severely deaf P = 0.58.

In contrast to acoustic stimuli, the average threshold for a response to laser pulses was 9.6 µJ/pulse in normal hearing animals, 14.9 µJ/pulse in partially deaf animals, and 14.7 µJ/pulse in severely deaf animals. Statistical testing showed that the differences in threshold are not significant; ANOVA (Fc = 3.7, DF = 16, P = 0.6).

The average maximum amplitude evoked with the highest radiant energies delivered by the laser, decreased from 234 µV in hearing animals to 173 µV and 168 µV in partially and severely deaf animals, respectively [Figs. 5(d) and 5(e)]. Statistical testing showed that the differences in threshold are not significant; ANOVA (Fc = 3.7, DF = 15, P = 0.72).

3.2 Compound Action Potential Masking Recordings

To evaluate the effect of an acoustic masker on CAP amplitudes, two series of experiments were performed to determine (1) the effect of a variable level acoustic stimulus on a fixed radiant...
energy exposure [variable masker, Figs. 4(d)–4(f)] and (2) the effect of a fixed acoustic stimulus on varied radiant energy exposures. The animals were classified as severely deaf animals. At present, consensus exists that the first step of INS results from complex interactions of the photons and the target tissue. At present, consensus exists that the first step of INS involves the heating of the tissue. For the next steps, several possibilities have been discussed and some may occur in sequence. Steps include the generation of a depolarizing capacitive current and an increase of intracellular calcium concentration. The generation of a stress relaxation wave that mechanically stimulate hair cells, and the activation of temperature-sensitive ion channels such as the TRP channels. The animals with pure tone responses showed a higher probability for finding inner and outer hair cells than the animals with no pure tone response. Although there are still hair cells in the severely deaf animals, the physiological data suggest that the majority of the remaining hair cells can no longer be acoustically stimulated.

### 3.2.2 Variable infrared neural stimulation

For the experiments, the sound level for the noise masker was 80 dB SPL [Figs. 4(g)–4(i)]. The noise stimulus effectively masked the laser response at all radiant energies in normal hearing animals [Fig. 4(g)]. In partially deaf animals, the laser response threshold has no change, while the amplitude was reduced [Fig. 4(h)]. In severely deaf animals, the laser response threshold has no change either, and the acoustic masker has no effect on the laser responses [Fig. 4(i)].

### 3.3 Histology

Histological analysis was performed on the severely deaf animals. Because the animals were only treated with neomycin on one side, the untreated side was used as a control. In the control, cochleae, on an average of 7 out of 10 sections (67%, Fig. 7), showed hair cells across all turns of all animals. In the severely deaf cochleae, an average of only 3 out of 10 sections (31%, Fig. 7) showed hair cells across all turns of all animals. No significant difference between the turns could be seen. As seen by the inner hair cell counts, the damaging of the cochleae was not complete. Some hair cells remained in the damaged cochleae. Despite the presence of some hair cells, no auditory responses could be evoked at stimulus frequencies above 16 kHz in two animals, and no responses could be evoked for stimulus frequencies between 2 and 32 kHz in another two animals. In all cases, the threshold for acoustic stimulation was significantly elevated. Detailed results for hair cell probability across the different turns of the cochlea are shown in Fig. 7.

It is seen that there are still outer and inner hair cells remaining in the severely deaf animals, as well as a large standard deviation (Fig. 7). Although all cochleae had some remaining hair cells, the large variation in hair cell probability is due to the difference between the animals that had response to pure tones and those that did not [Fig. 7(c)]. The animals with pure tone responses showed a higher probability for finding inner and outer hair cells than the animals with no pure tone response. Although there are still hair cells in the severely deaf animals, the physiological data suggest that the majority of the remaining hair cells can no longer be acoustically stimulated [Figs. 7(c) and 7(c)].

### 4 Discussion

INS results from complex interactions of the photons and the target tissue. At present, consensus exists that the first step of INS involves the heating of the tissue. For the next steps, several possibilities have been discussed and some may occur in sequence. Steps include the generation of a depolarizing capacitive current and an increase of intracellular calcium concentration, and the generation of a stress relaxation wave that mechanically stimulate hair cells.

#### 4.1 Capacitive Current

Experimental results and modeling have shown that the resulting depolarization for the selected laser parameters is in the order of 10 mV. This change in membrane potential might not be sufficient to evoke an action potential if the resting potential of the neuron is close to −80 mV. However, if the resting potential of the neuron is more positive, closer to the activation potential
of sodium channels, the laser pulse can evoke a response. In other words, if it is possible to change the resting potential of the neuron toward the activation threshold for sodium channels, the neuron becomes more sensitive to optical stimulation.

It has been shown in oocytes, in *Aplysia californica* and in rat sciatic nerves, that the threshold for INS can be lowered by electrical-optical costimulation. The electrical stimulus is thought to depolarize the cell without evoking an action potential. The action potential is then initiated by the irradiation with the laser.

### 4.2 Temperature-Sensitive Channels

The existence of TRPV1 and TRPV4 channels in the membrane of auditory, vestibular, and vagal neurons has been shown by immunohistochemical staining. Direct experimental evidence that TRPV1 and TRPV4 channels are activated by the irradiation comes from *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments.

In a patch clamp experiment, the direct irradiation of the membrane and the increase of the temperature above 43°C resulted in the activation of the channels. Similarly, auditory, vestibular,
and vagal neurons were cultured and could be stimulated by direct irradiation. The use of specific channel blockers and immunohistochemistry confirmed the presence of the channels in the target structures. In vivo experiments on mice that lack the TRPV1 channel showed reduced ability to evoke an action potential with the laser in the cochlea. While in vitro experiments suggest that the activation of TRPV channels provides a mechanism by which INS generates action potentials, two other independent sets of data would argue against TRPV channels as the main contributing factor. In frogs and fish, cooling of the animal did not decrease the response to INS. The authors concluded from the experiments that a temporal and spatial temperature gradient is required for INS. The absolute temperature is not the key factor for INS and temperatures can be shifted away from the activation temperature of selected TRP channels without affecting the ability to evoke a response with INS.

4.3 Affecting the Calcium Homeostasis

INS results in an intracellular calcium increase and the subsequent depolarization of the cell. The increase in free calcium caused by infrared irradiation was found in the vestibular system in cardiomyocytes and the cortex. While it has been well documented that the intracellular calcium concentration increases upon irradiation, it is not clear how the increased calcium results in an action potential.

4.4 Deafening of the Animals

Deafening of the guinea pigs with a transtympanic neomycin injection (~250 μl of Ringer’s lactate containing 25 mM of neomycin) elevated significantly acoustically evoked CAP responses by 40 to 60 dB, but had little effect on the optically evoked CAP thresholds. In contrast to CAP thresholds, the maximum CAP amplitude decreased after deafening, while the changes were not statistically significant.

For acoustic stimulation, it has been established that the CAP amplitude depends on the number of auditory neurons stimulated simultaneously. We assume that, similar to acoustical stimulation, the number of spiral ganglion neurons that are simultaneously depolarized in response to optical stimuli determines the CAP amplitude. Consequently, factors that determine the CAP amplitude include the spiral ganglion cell population and the synchronous firing of neurons in response to the optical radiation. On the other hand, it has been reported for the vestibular system that hair cells might be stimulated directly, but not by mechanically stimulating the stereocilia bundles.

4.5 Contributions of Hair Cells

It has been argued for the vestibular system that hair cells are the target for the radiation. The input is not via the hair cell stereocilia bundles but via a direct interaction between the radiation and the cell membrane. Some hair cells are present in all the cochlea (Fig. 7), therefore, a mechanism of stimulation as seen in the vestibular system cannot be ruled out. In other

Fig. 5 (a) through (f) show the increase in CAP amplitude with increasing stimulus level, the I/O contours of all animals. Gray traces give the contours for the individual animals and the black solid traces provide the averages with the corresponding standard deviations. (a) through (c) are obtained while the cochlea was stimulated with acoustic clicks. The threshold had a significant increase from untreated (a) to partially deaf (c) and severely deaf (c) animals. A significant difference was found in the maximum click-evoked amplitude of untreated and severely deaf animals (a) and (c). This was different when stimulation was achieved with the laser. There was no significant threshold change in control animals (d), partially deaf animals (e), and severely deaf animals (f). No significant difference was found for the maximum laser-evoked amplitudes (d) through (f).
words, this hypothesis assumes that while the remaining hair cells are no longer mechanically excitable, they can still generate action potentials.

4.6 Mechanical Event

A mechanical event as the mechanism for INS was not supported for stimulation of the rat sciatic nerve\(^8\) The authors used optical coherence tomography to measure the displacement of an isolated rat sciatic nerve during irradiation with a Ho:YAG laser at a resolution of 20 nm. They also used a microindenter to model the effect of irradiating the nerve. None of the two approaches gave evidence that a mechanical event is responsible for INS, rather the spatially and temporally confined heating of the target volume result in the generation of an action potential.

In contrast to cortical or peripheral nerve stimulation, cochlear INS has been explained by both a mechanical stimulation of the basilar membrane and hair cells\(^2^2^, ^4^2\) and a direct stimulation of the neurons.\(^4^3\) The results from experiments have been published which can be explained by either mechanical stimulation of the cochlea or by direct stimulation of auditory neurons.\(^\) The results from experiments have been published which can be explained by either mechanical stimulation of the cochlea or by direct stimulation of auditory neurons.

The pressure caused by the stress relaxation wave during irradiation with IR was measured in air and in a swimming pool using a hydrophone.\(^\) The peak equivalent value for a radiant exposure of 350 mJ/cm\(^2\) in air was 62 dB (re 20 \(\mu\)Pa) and was 31 mPa or 63.8 dB (re 20 \(\mu\)Pa) in a swimming pool. Radiant exposure for stimulation is typically 16 mJ/cm\(^2\) and does not change significantly from normal hearing to acutely deafened animals. Deafness does not rule out the possibility of stimulation with pure tones. However, in deaf animals, pure tone thresholds for acoustical stimulation are typically above 80 dB SPL, which is about 20 dB above the expected pressure created by the laser.
at its maximum possible output. Moreover, the reported pressure values for stress relaxation waves are obtained at the radiant energies, which are 20 times the radiation energy required to reach threshold for INS.

The present experiments were designed to further determine whether an acoustic effect is sufficient to explain INS-evoked response in the cochlea. The interactions of two stimuli in the cochlea have been used to address this question. In general, two acoustic, two electrical, or two optical stimuli, or the combination thereof interact in the cochlea. If one of the stimuli is used as the probe and the other as the masker, the masker will decrease the response to the probe stimulus. The amount of the reduction that can be achieved by the masker stimulus serves as a measure to determine the degree of interaction. For our experiments, the probe was the optical stimulus and the masker was a broadband acoustic noise stimulus. The photons were delivered via an optical fiber inserted into scala tympani, and the acoustic stimulus was delivered via a speaker at the outer ear canal of the same ear.

In normal hearing animals, the acoustic stimulus masked the response to the optical stimulus. The masking was less in partially deaf animals and was absent in severely deaf animals [Figs. 4a and 4b]. Assuming that the optical and the acoustic stimuli both result in a mechanical event stimulating hair cells, masking would be the interaction between the two acoustic stimuli. The masking effect decreased in partially deaf animals and was absent in severely deaf animals. Since the deafening is not complete and some hair cells are still found along the entire cochlea, one may argue that the acoustic and the optical stimuli are both mechanical but stimulate the cochlea at different sites along the cochlea. In other words, the laser stimulation occurs at low frequencies (below 10 kHz) and the acoustic masker vibrates the basilar membrane at high frequencies (above 10 kHz). If this is the case, once acoustically evoked CAP thresholds for frequencies above 10 kHz are drastically elevated, stimulation with the acoustic noise stimulus will not be possible. However, laser-evoked responses could still be feasible for frequencies below 10 kHz and no masking should be seen. The latter scenario is unlikely as it has been demonstrated in the literature on tone-on-tone masking that a masker is inefficient for frequencies above the best frequency of the probe, here the laser.

In summary, our findings do not support the view that both the acoustic noise stimulus and the optical stimulus evoke a mechanical event. Rather, the finding supports the notion that the acoustic stimulus evokes a mechanical event and the laser stimulation is achieved through a direct interaction between the radiation and cochlear structures.

The findings from this study, however, do not explain the results obtained after successive perfusion of the cochlea with neomycin. Following the perfusion, responses to laser and auditory stimulation disappeared, while monopolar electrical stimulation was only slightly changed. While it can be argued that the perfusion with neomycin did not alter the response properties of the neurons, two sets of data question this notion: it has been shown that in neomycin-deafened gerbils, both optical and bipolar electrical stimulations disappeared in some animals if large amounts of neomycin were applied. Furthermore, we have shown in the guinea pig that large fractions of the cochlea can be removed without greatly affecting responses to electrical monopolar stimulation in the guinea pig. Unfortunately, the discussion above does not provide irrefutable proof on the issue of whether cochlear INS is dominated by direct neuronal interaction or a pressure wave. The ideal experiment has not yet been conducted to prove the mechanism of cochlear INS. To judge whether mechanical events are the cause of neural stimulation in the cochlea, one has to conduct behavioral experiments in a damaged cochlea. Current efforts are underway to develop small light sources which can be chronically implanted for behavioral testing.

### 4.7 Summary

The goal of this study was to determine whether the mechanism of INS in the cochlea can be explained by direct neural stimulation. Amplitude and threshold for acoustic click-evoked responses are different between normal hearing and deaf animals; CAP threshold increases and the maximum response amplitude decreases in deaf animals. This is different for the laser responses. The threshold for laser stimulation remains almost unchanged in deaf animals; only a reduction of the maximum response amplitude is observed. We conclude from the experiments that the responses to laser pulses are not dominated by an acoustic event but rather reflect the direct interaction of the radiation and the neural tissue.
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